G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1912

( police )

**File No.** 3 of 2

**Serial Nos.** 23-24

## ~~Papers Filed.~~

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

prosecution under Infant Marriage prevention Regulation.

T. V. Ramaswamy Iyer

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
|---|---|
| Correspondence | |

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

SECTION Vol. — REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

FILE No. —

SERIAL No. —

**Short Office Note.**

One form may be used for more than one letter of the same file as long as there is space. The Office Note should be in black ink. Write only on side of this form.

* No. and date of letter, from whom, catch words to denote nature of Subject should be stated, in red ink.

This is a report on "infant" marriage.

***Subject**

Letter No. 2147 dated 18th Sep 1902, from the Inspector Genl of Police, re commencing the prosecution of the parties concerned in the infant marriage that took place at Doddabanagere village Sira Taluk, on or about the 15th September 1902.

**Note**

The age of the bridegroom is stated to be over 50 years, but no documentary evidence has been produced to prove the exact date of his birth as no such evidence is said to be available, the man himself says that he does not know how old he is and that he was born in the Madakasira Taluk. The age of the girl is proved by the Birth and Death Registers of 1888-89, and from which it appears that she was 13 years 9 months and 25 days on the date of marriage i.e. 2 months & 5 days below the prescribed age.

Submitted for orders as to whether in the circumstances, a prosecution of the parties is expedient.

Under S. 57 Regn X of 1894, a man who, having completed fifty years of age, marries a girl who has not completed fourteen years, is liable to imprisonment.

The girl here was very little below the ~~imprisonment~~ age of 14. The age of the bridegroom is vaguely stated to be over 50; but there is no evidence ~~as to~~ required by such a court to straighten the point of law. It is therefore (I think) inexpedient to sanction a prosecution in this case.

Draft ~~is~~ is put up for approval

Hrw 21/11

20.11.12

The Dewan

Draft approved & sent for issue 22.11.12

Read 22.11.02 7133.

5575-
1936
190

3

5

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

SECTION Pol

FILE No. 30-03-

SER'AI No. 23

Dated

Despatched 18 November

Received

General No. 2147
No. 134

Enclosure No. one piece.

Recommending the prosecution of the parties concerned in an infant marriage celebrated at Doddabanagere in the Tumkur District.

Inl
19/11/0

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 2147 / 134 Dated 8. November 1902

Enc. one file

From
C. Madiah Esquire, Rao Bahadur
-THE- INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire, M.A. M.L.
-THE-SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Memo dated 27th ultimo from the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Tumkur District, bringing to notice that one Govindappa, resident of Dodda Banagere gave his daughter Lakkamma, a girl of 13 years, 9 months, and 25 days to one Hanumantha aged more than 50 years, resident of Gopikunte Village, Maragoor

2. The parties concerned in the marriage are —

1. Govindappa, father of the bride.
2. Hanumantha, the bridegroom.
3. Narasambhat, who officiated as priest at the marriage ceremony.

3. The above marriage having been celebrated in contravention of section 5 of the Infant marriage Prevention Regulations, the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Tumkur, recommends the prosecution of the above persons. I accordingly beg that the sanction of Government may be obtained to the prosecution of the persons therein referred to.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

[signature]
Actg Inspector General of Police

Box



Read :—

Letter No 2147/154 dated 18th November 1902, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, recommending the prosecution of the parties concerned in a case of marriage that took place at Dodda Banagere Village in the Tiptur Taluk, on or about the 15th September 1902, in which one Lakkamma, a girl of 13 years, 9 months & 25 days was married to one Hanumantha said to be aged more than 50 years in Contravention of the provisions of the Infant Marriage Prevention Regulation.

SECTION Pol

FILE No. 3695 2.

SER'AL No 24

J. 741 ℔ 26/11/02
Els. Records.

Order thereon :—

Under section 5 of Regn X of 1894, a man who, having completed fifty years of age, marries a girl who has not completed

11.

14 years, is liable to punishment. The girl in the above case was very little below the minimum age of 14. The age of the bridegroom is vaguely stated to be over 50; but there is no evidence such as would be required by a Court of law, to establish the point. Govt therefore consider it inexpedient to sanction a prosecution in this case.

24.11.02

27/11

22.11.02

Secretary

Asst. 26.11.02

20. The Inspector General of Police returning the records